Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **IBRO** Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ibror

**Research Paper** 

# Assessment of brain mechanisms involved in the processes of thermal sensation, pleasantness/unpleasantness, and evaluation

Yuka Aizawa<sup>a</sup>, Tokiko Harada<sup>b</sup>, Hiroki Nakata<sup>c</sup>, Mizuki Tsunakawa<sup>a</sup>, Norihiro Sadato<sup>d</sup>, Kei Nagashima<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Body Temperature and Fluid Laboratory, Faculty of Human Sciences, Waseda University, Tokorozawa, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Human Life and Environment, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan

<sup>d</sup> National Institute for Physiological Sciences, Okazaki, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: fMRI Thermal perception Hedonic component Discriminative component Thermal feeling Body temperature Behavioral thermoregulation Insula Prefrontal cortex

#### ABSTRACT

The conscious perception of thermal stimuli is divided into two categories: thermal sensation (i.e., discriminative component) and pleasantness/unpleasantness (i.e., hedonic component). There have been very few studies which clearly dissociated the two components. The aim of the present study was 1) to identify brain regions involved in perception of thermal stimuli per se, dissociating those related to the two components, and additionally 2) to examine brain regions of the explicit evaluation processes for the two components. Sixteen participants received local thermal stimuli of either 41.5 °C or 18.0 °C during whole-body thermal stimuli of 47.0 °C, 32.0 °C, or 17.0 °C. The local stimuli were delivered to the right forearm with the Peltier device. The whole-body stimuli delivered through a water-perfusion suit was aimed to modulate thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness to the local stimulus. The local stimulation at the same temperature was conducted five times with 30-s intervals. Brain activation was assessed by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and the participants were asked to report their ratings of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness following the cessation of each local stimulus. Local thermal stimulation activated specific brain regions such as the anterior cingulate cortex, insula, and inferior parietal lobe, irrespective of the temperature of local and whole-body stimuli; however, no specific activation for hot or cold sensation was observed. Different brain regions were associated with pleasantness and unpleasantness; the caudate nucleus and frontal regions for pleasantness, and the medial frontal and anterior cingulate cortex for unpleasantness. In addition, the explicit evaluation process for the discriminative and hedonic components immediately following the cessation of local stimulus involved different brain regions; the medial prefrontal cortex extending to the anterior cingulate cortex, insula, middle frontal cortex, and parietal lobes during the explicit evaluation of thermal sensation, and the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and inferior parietal lobes during that of pleasantness/unpleasantness.

#### Introduction

Thermoregulation maintains the thermal condition of the body at an appropriate level for survival. For this purpose, homeothermic animals, including human beings, continuously and subconsciously monitor core body temperature (i.e., temperature of the central and visceral organs) which reflects the thermal condition of the internal body, and skin temperature, which reflects the thermal condition of the environment. When necessary, autonomic (e.g., non-shivering thermogenesis and skin vasodilation) and behavioral (e.g., heat-escape behavior) thermoregulatory responses are enacted (Tan et al., 2016; Tan and Knight,

2018). Core body temperature is monitored by thermo-sensitive neurons in the central nervous system, whereby the hypothalamic preoptic area (POA) plays an important role. Changes in skin temperature activates dorsal root ganglia cells. This information is sequentially conveyed to the spinal dorsal horn, external lateral part of the parabrachial nucleus, and POA, respectively (Nakamura and Morrison, 2008; Tan et al., 2016; Tan and Knight, 2018). Human beings may also consciously monitor thermal condition; this is thought to be mediated by the cerebral cortex.

Conscious thermal perception is divided into thermal sensation (i.e., discriminative component) and pleasantness/unpleasantness (i.e.,

E-mail address: k-nagashima@waseda.jp (K. Nagashima).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibror.2019.01.003

Received 5 October 2018; Accepted 5 January 2019







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Body Temperature and Fluid Laboratory, Faculty of Human Sciences, Waseda University, Mikajima 2-579-15, Tokorozawa, Saitama 359-1192, Japan.

<sup>2451-8301/ © 2019</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Brain Research Organization. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).



Fig. 1. (A) A local thermal stimulus was applied to the anterior plane of the left forearm with a Peltier apparatus. Whole-body thermal stimulation was delivered through a waterperfusion suit avoiding the face, hands, and feet. (B) Six thermal conditions in the experiment, combining local (18 °C or 41.5 °C; Clocal or H<sub>local</sub>, respectively) and whole-body thermal stimulations (perfusion water temperature of 17 °C, 32 °C, or 47 °C; Cbody, Nbody, or Hbody, respectively). (C) Scheme of experimental design. Each fMRI run consisted of five local stimulation blocks of the same temperature of 30s duration each, interleaved with a 10-s evaluation and a 20-s rest period. Green and white fixations were presented at the center of the screen during the local thermal stimulation and rest periods, respectively. During the 10-s evaluation period, the participants made two ratings as to the given local thermal stimuli with a VAS: thermal sensation rating and thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness rating. (D) Change in the surface temperature of the Peltier apparatus during the protocols of the local cold (left) and hot (right) stimuli.

hedonic component) (Cabanac, 1971; Hensel, 1981). The discriminative component is defined as the objective evaluation of skin temperature (Mower, 1976). The hedonic component is defined as an affective feeling regarding the thermal condition of the body (Cabanac, 1979; Attia and Engel, 1981; Attia, 1984). Mower (1976) clarified that the two components are independent by psychophysiological experiment. More recently, Nakamura et al. (Nakamura et al., 2008, 2013) has reported that, when cold or heat stimulus was applied to local skin, the thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness was altered, depending on environmental condition of subjects (i.e. hot or cold). However, the thermal sensation remained unchanged. For example, facial cooling induced similar cold sensation in both hot and cold environments: however, thermal pleasantness was evoked only in a hot environment. The results may also suggest independence of the two components of thermal perception.

Several neuroimaging studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) have reported brain regions involved in the discriminative component: the cingulate, somatosensory, premotor, motor, prefrontal, and inferior parietal cortices were activated during both noxious and innoxious thermal stimuli (Casey et al., 1996; Davis et al., 1998; Craig et al., 2000; Tracey et al., 2000; Becerra et al., 2001; Olausson et al., 2005; Tseng et al., 2010; Peltz et al., 2011). In contrast, only a few studies have examined the neural correlates of the hedonic component (Kanosue et al., 2002; Rolls et al., 2008; Farrell et al., 2011). For example, an fMRI study by Rolls et al. (2008) demonstrated that activity in the mid-orbitofrontal and pregenual cingulate cortices as well as the ventral striatum were positively correlated with the strength of pleasant feelings about thermal stimuli to the left hand, while activity in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex was positively correlated with the strength of unpleasant feelings. These results may suggest that the hedonic and discriminative components are processed in different brain regions.

Previous studies have identified brain regions involved in thermal feeling, based on correlation of blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signals with subjective rating (Kanosue et al., 2002; Rolls et al., 2008; Farrell et al., 2011). However, thermal stimuli usually affect psychological assessment of hedonic and discriminative components of thermal perception simultaneously. Therefore, the studies may have drawn erroneous conclusions because the thermal stimuli were not designed to separate the two components.

In the present study, we first aimed to develop an experimental procedure to separate the two components of thermal perception. Then, we identified the responsible brain regions for each component using fMRI. Local hot or cold stimuli were applied to the forearm while the whole-body skin surface was heated or cooled simultaneously. A local hot stimulus during whole-body cooling generated a pleasant feeling, while a local hot stimulus during whole-body heating did not, despite the similar sensation of heat on the forearm. Moreover, we assessed the brain regions involved in the evaluation process of thermal stimulation, separate from thermal perception per se. For this purpose, fMRI signals were obtained while participants were explicitly rating the intensities of thermal sensation and affective feeling, which were conducted after local thermal stimulation.

#### Materials and methods

#### Participants

Sixteen healthy, right-handed, and non-smoking volunteers (13 males and three females; aged  $24.4 \pm 0.8$  y [mean  $\pm$  SE]) participated in the present study. Participants received reimbursement for participating in the study. None of the participants had any history of neurological or psychiatric illness. All participants gave their written informed consent for the experimental protocol, which was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Human Sciences of Waseda University and the National Institute for Physiological Sciences. The experiment was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Thermal stimulation

Two independent thermal stimulations were simultaneously administered to the participants; one was a local stimulus to the anterior plane of the left forearm, and the other was a stimulus to the skin surface of the whole body with the exception of the face, hands, and feet (Fig. 1A). The forearm stimulations (i.e., the local stimulations) were given by means of a Peltier apparatus (3-cm diameter covered with a thin copper board; Intercross 2000, Tokyo, Japan). The Peltier apparatus was attached to the skin surface with paper adhesion tape. The temperature of the apparatus was computer-controlled (LabVIEW 2013: National Instruments, Texas, USA), where it was initially held at 32.0 °C for 30 s followed by 20-s decrements from 23.0 °C to 18.0 °C (0.25 °C/sec for the 20 s; i.e., the local cold stimulation) or increments from 36.5 °C to 41.5 °C (0.25 °C/sec for the 20 s; i.e., the local hot stimulation), then held constant at 18.0 °C or 41.5 °C for 10 s, respectively (Fig. 1D). The same local thermal stimulation was repeated five times in each experimental run. A 30-s 32.0 °C stimulation was added to the end of the run. The local temperature of 32.0 °C induced neither hot nor cold sensation (i.e., thermoneutral temperature) (Kingma et al., 2012).

The whole-body thermal stimulation was delivered through a waterperfusion suit (Med-Eng, Ottawa, Canada), which was densely lined with inner surface tubes (Egan et al., 2005). Water at a temperature of 17.0 °C (i.e., the whole-body cold stimulation), 32.0 °C (i.e., the wholebody neutral stimulation), or 47.0 °C (i.e., the whole-body hot stimulation) was perfused continuously at a rate of 21/min within the tubes. The perfusion of 32.0 °C water induced thermoneutral sensation (Kingma et al., 2012).

There were six thermal conditions in the experiment, combining the local thermal stimuli (i.e., cold and hot stimuli) and the whole-body thermal stimulations (i.e., cold, neutral, and hot stimulations):  $C_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $N_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $H_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $C_{body}H_{local}$ ,  $N_{body}H_{local}$ , and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  (Fig. 1B). C, N and H represent cold, neutral and hot stimulations, respectively; and body and local denote the whole body and local stimulations, respectively.

#### Experimental procedure

Participants arrived at the laboratory at least 1 h before starting the experiment. They were allowed to drink ~500 ml of water and take lavatory breaks throughout the experiment. Before MRI scanning, participants were instructed to wear the water-perfusion suit and a pair of socks, and relax into a chair. Their skin temperatures under the suit were monitored with thermocouples at the anterior chest, abdomen, forearm, and thigh for approximately 10 min until their skin temperature became stable after the water perfusion started. They were then taken into the MRI scanning room. Ear canal temperature (CE thermo, Nipro, Osaka, Japan) was measured before and after the MRI scanning.

There were two fMRI runs for each of the six thermal conditions, resulting in a total of 12 fMRI runs per subject. The order of the six different thermal conditions was counterbalanced across participants, but four runs of the same whole-body stimulation conditions were conducted in succession (e.g., two  $C_{body}C_{local}$  runs, two  $C_{body}H_{local}$  runs, two  $H_{body}C_{local}$  runs, two  $H_{body}H_{local}$  runs, two  $N_{body}H_{local}$  runs, and two N<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub> runs). Participants rested in an anteroom before each of the three different whole-body stimulus conditions, and relaxed in a chair until the skin temperature became stable under the whole-body stimulation condition. Each fMRI run consisted of five local stimulation blocks of the same temperature of 30-s duration each, interleaved with a 10-s evaluation and a 20-s rest period (Fig. 1C). Green and white fixations were presented at the center of the screen during the local thermal stimulation and rest periods, respectively. To avoid any potential perceptual illusion of local thermal stimulation during rest periods, we gave the cues to the participants to recognize the onset and offset of the local thermal stimulation. The color change of the cues was not likely to affect the neural activation related to thermal perception.

This is because the statistical analyses for the fMRI data would dissociate sustained brain activities during the 30-s thermal stimulation from the transient brain activities due to the color change.

During the 10-s evaluation period, the participants made two ratings as to the given local thermal stimuli with a visual analogue scale (VAS), which was shown on the center of the screen. Within the initial 5 s of each evaluation period, the participants made a judgment as to how hot or cold they had perceived the local thermal stimulation to be (i.e., thermal sensation rating) indicating the left or right side of the VAS as very cold or very hot, respectively. During the subsequent 5 s, they judged the pleasantness or unpleasantness they had felt for the local thermal stimulation (i.e., thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness rating), indicating the left or right side of the VAS as very unpleasant or very pleasant, respectively. The participants held a button box with their right hand and responded by pressing two buttons with their index and middle fingers to move and stop a bar at an appropriate position on the VAS.

All visual stimuli were presented using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, Albany, CA) and projected onto a halftransparent viewing screen located behind the head coil. The participants viewed the projected stimuli through a mirror attached to the head coil. The participants' responses were also recorded using Presentation software.

#### fMRI data acquisition

We used a 3-Tesla MRI scanner (MAGNETOM Verio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32 channel phased array head coil. Functional brain images were obtained during the 12 runs in an axial-oblique position covering the whole brain with a multiband Echo-Planar Imaging sequence (Moeller et al., 2010) (repetition time [TR] = 1000 ms, echo time [TE] = 30 ms, flip angle = 80 degrees, field of view [FOV] = 192 mm<sup>2</sup>, 60 slices, in-plane resolution = 2 mm × 2 mm, slice thickness = 2.5 mm including 0.5 mm gap, multiband factor = 6) that was sensitive to BOLD contrast. The number of T2\*-weighted images was 330 for each fMRI run. A high-resolution anatomical T1-weighted image (MPRAGE; TR = 1.8 s, TE = 1.98 ms, flip angle = 9 degrees, FOV = 256 mm<sup>2</sup>, 176 slices, voxel size = 1 mm × 1 mm × 1 mm) was also acquired for each participant. Foam padding was placed around each participant's head to minimize head movement.

#### Statistical analysis of behavioral data

The VAS scores of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings were separately subjected to analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with repeated-measures using local (cold and hot) and whole-body (cold, neutral, and hot) as within-subject factors. Bonferroni post hoc multiple comparison tests were conducted to evaluate differences among conditions. We also analyzed the bivariate correlative relationship between VAS scores of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings under each condition, after confirming data normality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Statistical evaluations were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software (IBM, Chicago, USA). All values are presented as means  $\pm$  SE. Statistical significance was set at a level of p < 0.05.

#### fMRI data analysis

The MRI data were analyzed with SPM8 software (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK) implemented in MATLAB R2012a (MathWorks, Sherborn, MA, USA). First, all the images were spatially realigned to the mean image. After a high-resolution image was coregistered onto the mean image, all volumes were normalized to the MNI space (Montreal Neurological Institute [MNI] template) using a transformation matrix obtained from the normalization process of the high-resolution image of each individual



**Fig. 2.** (I) VAS scores (means  $\pm$  SE) for the thermal sensation (A and C in the local cold and hot stimuli, respectively) and pleasantness/unpleasantness (B and D in the local cold and hot stimuli, respectively) during three different whole body thermal conditions. \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001 (II) Correlative relationship between VAS scores of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings under each protocol. Significant correlations were observed in the C<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub> and C<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub> conditions.

participant to the MNI template. The normalized and resliced images were then spatially smoothed with Gaussian kernel of 8 mm (full width at half-maximum; FWHM) in the X, Y, and Z axes.

After preprocessing, statistical analysis for each participant was conducted using a general linear model (Friston et al., 1995). At the first level, local thermal stimulation, thermal sensation rating, and pleasantness/unpleasantness rating were modeled separately with a 30-s, 5-s, and 5-s duration, respectively; convolving a hemodynamic response function. In the results, the following three neural processes were separately modeled as regressors: 1) perception of local thermal

stimulation, 2) evaluation of thermal sensation induced by thermal stimuli, and 3) evaluation of pleasantness/unpleasantness for thermal stimulation. In addition, six regressors for movement parameters obtained in the realignment process were entered in the design matrix. An additional regressor of the mean signal from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was also included in the design matrix. High-pass filters (128 s) were applied to the time-series data. An autoregressive model was used to estimate the temporal autocorrelation. The signals of images were scaled to a grand mean of 100 overall voxels and volumes within each run. The parameter estimate for perception of local thermal stimuli was

computed for each of the six thermal stimulation conditions (i.e.,  $C_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $N_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $H_{body}C_{local}$ ,  $C_{body}H_{local}$ ,  $N_{body}H_{local}$ , and  $H_{body}H_{local}$ ) from the least-square fit of the model to the time-series data at each voxel. For each of the thermal sensations and pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings, the parameter estimate was computed combining all six thermal stimulation conditions. Images of the parameter estimates representing related neural activities (i.e., contrast images) were created for each participant.

At the second-level analysis, the contrast images obtained from each participant were entered into group analyses with a random-effect model. First, to examine whether local thermal stimulation with a given temperature was processed in dissociable brain regions depending on temperatures of whole-body thermal stimulations, a one-way withinsubjects ANOVA was conducted with the contrast images pertaining to the six thermal stimulation conditions. To examine brain regions commonly involved across all the six conditions, a conjunction analysis was also tested. Second, we examined the main effect of temperature of local thermal stimulation and whole-body thermal stimulation as well as the interaction between them. Furthermore, to examine possible differential effects of the temperature of whole-body thermal stimulation on perception of local thermal stimulation in detail, we conducted pairwise comparisons: CbodyClocal vs. NbodyClocal, CbodyClocal vs. HbodyClocal, HbodyClocal vs. NbodyClocal, CbodyHlocal vs. NbodyHlocal, CbodyHlocal vs. HbodyHlocal, and HbodyHlocal vs. NbodyHlocal. We also examined whether there were any positive and/or negative correlations between brain activities and participants' subjective ratings for each of the six thermal conditions: i) brain activities during perception of the local thermal stimulation and the ratings of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness, ii) those during the explicit evaluation process of the discriminative component and the rating of thermal sensation, and iii) those during the explicit evaluation process of the hedonic component and the rating of pleasantness/unpleasantness. Additionally, a conjunction analysis and paired *t*-test were conducted with the contrast images representing neural activities during the two ratings (i.e., thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings) to examine both common and distinct brain regions involved in the two different evaluation processes for thermal stimulation. The statistical thresholds were set at p = 0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons at the voxel level, and p = 0.05 Family-wise error [FWE]corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level (Slotnick, 2017). All the coordinates were reported in the MNI space. Brodmann areas and brain regions were identified based on the Talairach Atlas (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988) after converting MNI coordinates to Talairach space with a nonlinear transformation (http://imaging.mrccbu.cam.ac.uk/imaging/MniTalairach).

#### Results

#### Change of mean skin temperature and ear canal temperature

The mean skin temperatures (T<sub>sk</sub>) were calculated according to the following expression: T<sub>sk</sub> = 0.25 ·T<sub>fa</sub> + 0.43 ·T<sub>ch</sub> + 0.32 ·T<sub>th</sub> (Roberts et al., 1977). The terms T<sub>fa</sub>, T<sub>ch</sub>, and T<sub>th</sub> were skin surface temperatures measured from the forearm, chest, and thigh respectively. When applying a 47.0 °C whole-body stimulus, T<sub>sk</sub> increased from 32.1  $\pm$  0.3 °C to 33.8  $\pm$  1.7 °C. T<sub>sk</sub> increased from 31.7  $\pm$  0.3 °C to 33.1  $\pm$  0.3 °C during the 32.0 °C whole-body stimulus sessions. T<sub>sk</sub> decreased from 32.6  $\pm$  0.4 °C to 31.6  $\pm$  0.2 °C when 17.0 °C water was perfused. Ear canal temperature remained unchanged during the whole-body stimulus protocols in the anteroom as well as before and after the fMRI imaging.

#### Rating of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness

The rating of thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness of the forearm skin was conducted each time after the stimulation. Fig. 2IA and -IC shows the rating values of thermal sensation when 18.0 °C (local: cold) and 41.5 °C stimuli (local: hot) were applied, respectively. ANOVAs revealed a significant main effect of local temperature (F (1, 15) = 149.663, p < 0.001), but no main effect of whole-body temperature or interaction. The average rating value of thermal sensation for 18.0 °C stimuli (Fig. 2-IA) was -22.3  $\pm$  2.2, -19.8  $\pm$  1.9, and -22.7  $\pm$  1.5 in the C<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub>, N<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub>, and H<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub> conditions, respectively. When 41.5 °C stimulus was applied to the skin, the rating value of the sensation was 17.3  $\pm$  2.2, 14.6  $\pm$  2.1, and 12.7  $\pm$  2.3 in the C<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub>, N<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub>, and H<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub> trials, respectively (Fig. 2-IC).

Fig. 2-IB and -ID shows the averaged rating values of the pleasantness/unpleasantness in each condition. ANOVAs revealed a significant main effect of local (F (1, 15) = 30.589, p < 0.001) and local-wholebody interaction (F (2, 30) = 25.655, p < 0.001). The values for 18.0°C stimuli were -19.2  $\pm$  2.7, -9.5  $\pm$  3.1, and 3.7  $\pm$  4.0 in the C<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub>, N<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub>, and H<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub> conditions, respectively (Fig. 2-IB). The values in the H<sub>body</sub>C<sub>local</sub> condition were greater than 0 (i.e., rated as feeling pleasant) and those in the Nbody Clocal and Cbody Clocal conditions were less than 0 (i.e., rated as feeling unpleasant). Post-hoc test demonstrated that the values were significantly smaller in the  $C_{body}C_{local}$  and  $N_{body}C_{local}$  conditions than in the  $H_{body}C_{local}$  condition (p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively). The values for 41.5 °C stimuli were 17.3  $\pm$  3.2, 13.0  $\pm$  3.1, and -3.3  $\pm$  2.7 in the C<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub>,  $N_{body}H_{local},$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  conditions, respectively. The value in the H<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub> condition was less than 0 (i.e., rated as feeling unpleasant). The values in the  $N_{body}H_{local}$  and  $C_{body}H_{local}$  conditions were greater than 0 (i.e., rated as feeling pleasant). Post-hoc test showed that the values were significantly larger in the  $C_{\text{body}}H_{\text{local}}$  and  $N_{\text{body}}H_{\text{local}}$  conditions than in the  $H_{body}H_{local}$  condition (p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively). Additionally, significant correlations between VAS scores of the thermal sensation and pleasantness ratings were observed only when cold stimulation was applied to the skin surface of the whole body; i.e.,  $C_{body}C_{local}$  and  $C_{body}H_{local}$  conditions (p < 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 2-II).

#### fMRI results

The results of a within-subjects one-way ANOVA revealed no main effect of temperature of local thermal stimulation or whole-body thermal stimulation, and no interaction between temperatures of local and whole-body thermal stimulation. The results of a conjunction analysis showed significant activation of the medial prefrontal cortex (Brodmann area [BA] 8) extending to the anterior cingulate cortex (BA 32), bilateral insula extending up to subcentral area (BA 43) in the right hemisphere, bilateral inferior occipital gyri (BA 18), the right middle frontal cortex (BA 10/46), and the right inferior parietal lobe (BA 40); indicating overlapping brain activity with several right-lateralized regions across the six thermal stimulation conditions (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

The results of pair-wise comparisons revealed that the local cold thermal stimulation elicited greater brain activity in the anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32) extending to the medial prefrontal cortex (BA 9) and the dorsal part of the medial frontal gyrus (BA 8) under the whole-body cold condition than the whole-body hot condition (i.e.,  $C_{body}C_{local} > H_{body}C_{local}$ ) (Table 2 and Fig. 4A). On the other hand, the local hot thermal stimulation induced greater brain activity in the anterior cingulate cortex (BA 32), the dorsal part of the medial frontal gyrus (BA 8), the bilateral caudate nuclei, and the right middle frontal gyrus (BA 10) under the whole-body cold condition than the whole-body hot condition (i.e.,  $C_{body}H_{local} > H_{body}H_{local}$ ) (Table 2 and Fig. 4B).

There was no correlation observed between brain activities and participants' subjective ratings.

The results of a conjunction analysis for the ratings of local thermal sensation and pleasantness/unpleasantness showed widely distributed common activity in several brain regions, including the bilateral middle

#### Table 1

A conjunction analysis for perception of thermal stimulations.

Region name (BA)	Hem.	Voxels	Z value	p value	x, y, z (mm)
Commonly activated regions across the six experimental conditions					
(CbodyClocal & NbodyClocal & HbodyClocal & CbodyHlocal & NbodyHlocal	& H <sub>body</sub> H <sub>local</sub> )				
Inferior frontal gyrus (44)	Rt	2056	6.14	< 0.001	56, 14, 10
Insula (anterior)	Rt	*	5.53	< 0.001	34, 20, 8
Insula (posterior)	Rt	*	4.25	< 0.001	42, 2, 2
Medial frontal gyrus/anterior cingulate gyrus (8/32)	Rt	1212	6.60	< 0.001	6, 10, 50
Postcentral gyrus (43)	Rt	945	5.64	< 0.001	64, -22, 18
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Rt	*	3.77	< 0.001	52, -34, 36
Insula (anterior)	Lt	510	5.34	< 0.001	-32, 24, 6
Inferior frontal gyrus (44)	Lt	*	3.78	< 0.001	-54, 6, 2
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Rt	354	6.41	< 0.001	- 30, -92, -12
Inferior/middle frontal gyrus (10/46)	Rt	328	5.55	< 0.001	46, 46, 8
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Lt	245	4.73	< 0.001	26, -92, -12

BA, Brodmann area; Hem., hemisphere; Rt., right; Lt., left. \*a peak is included in a large cluster. Coordinates (x, y, z) are of the voxel of local maximal significance in each brain region according to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template.

frontal gyri (BA 46), anterior insula, lentiform nuclei, thalamus, superior and inferior parietal lobes (BA 7 and 40), inferior occipital gyri (BA 18) extending to the fusiform gyri (BA 37) and cerebellum, right anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32) extending to the dorsal part of the medial frontal gyrus (BA 6/8), superior and inferior frontal gyri (BA6 and 44), and precuneus (BA7) (Table 3 and Fig. 5). Additionally, the thermal sensation rating involved the bilateral hippocampus and pons, and the left precentral and postcentral gyri.

In a direct comparison of activation during thermal sensation and thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings, greater activation during thermal sensation ratings was observed in the bilateral anterior insula, lentiform nuclei, thalamus, postcentral gyri (BA 1, 2, and 3), inferior frontal gyri (BA 44), inferior parietal lobes (BA 40), inferior occipital gyri (BA 18) extending to the fusiform gyri (BA 37) and cerebellum, hippocampus and pons, anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32) extending to the dorsal part of the medial frontal gyrus (BA 6/8), the left precentral and postcentral gyri (BA 6 and 43), right middle temporal gyrus (BA 39), and superior parietal lobe (BA 7) (Table 4 and Fig. 6). Significantly greater activation during thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness ratings was observed in the anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32) extending to the bilateral superior and medial frontal gyri (BA 8 and 10), bilateral inferior parietal lobes (BA 40), right middle temporal gyrus (BA 21), cuneus (BA 18), and cerebellum (Table 4 and Fig. 6).

#### Discussion

The aim of the present study was to develop an experimental procedure to separate the two components of thermal perception, and clarify brain mechanisms involved in the discriminative and hedonic components of thermal perception. Psychological assessments during independent thermal stimuli applied to the whole body and forearm demonstrated that the two thermal components were independent and separable. fMRI revealed that the thermal stimuli activated widespread brain areas; however, different brain regions may be involved in processing thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness and evaluation of



Tabla	n
Table	2

The o	dissociated effects	s of temperatures	of whole-body	thermal	stimulations	on
the p	erception of loca	l thermal stimula	itions.			

Region name (BA)	Hem.	Voxels	Z value	p value	x, y, z (mm)
$C_{body}C_{local} > H_{body}C_{local}$					
Anterior cingulate gyrus (32)	Lt	656	3.99	< 0.001	-10, 50, 18
Anterior cingulate gyrus (32)	Rt	*	3.86	< 0.001	10, 44, 20
Medial frontal gyrus (9)	Rt	*	3.44	< 0.001	10, 52, 24
Medial frontal gyrus (8)	Lt	318	4.11	< 0.001	-2, 34, 50
$C_{body}H_{local} > H_{body}H_{local}$					
Caudate nucleus	Lt	1801	4.78	< 0.001	-14, 16, 4
Anterior cingulate gyrus (32)	Rt	*	4.21	< 0.001	6, 38, 8
Middle frontal gyrus (10)	Rt	*	3.78	< 0.001	26, 46, 4
Caudate nucleus	Rt	*	3.63	< 0.001	14, 14, 6
Medial frontal gyrus (8)	Rt	266	3.82	< 0.001	12, 36, 44

BA, Brodmann area; Hem., hemisphere; Rt., right; Lt., left. \*a peak is included in a large cluster. Coordinates (x, y, z) are of the voxel of local maximal significance in each brain region according to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template.

discriminative and hedonic components.

## Thermal stimulation protocol and psychological assessment to separate the discriminative and hedonic components of thermal perception

Previous psychophysiological studies have reported on the relationship between the two thermal components (i.e. discriminative and hedonic components). Thermal sensation of local heat or cold (i.e., discriminative component) is initially determined by the skin temperature without any influence of core body temperature or environmental condition (i.e. hot or cold) (Chatonnet and Cabanac, 1965; Cabanac, 1971; Mower, 1976; Attia, 1984; Kuno, 1987; Nakamura et al., 2008, 2013). However, the feeling of warmth or coolness (i.e.,

**Fig. 3.** Brain regions commonly activated across all the six thermal stimulation conditions were rendered on the medial and lateral surfaces of a brain (A) and superimposed onto axial sections (Z = 0 and 46 mm) of an SPM standard brain (B). The statistical threshold was set to uncorrected p < 0.001 at the voxel level and p < 0.05 FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level. Lt indicates the left side of brain.



**Fig. 4.** (A) Brain regions that showed greater activity during local cold stimulation under the whole-body cold than whole-body hot conditions (i.e.,  $C_{body}C_{local} > H_{body}C_{local}$ ). Significantly greater activation was observed in two different regions located within the medial frontal cortex, (a) the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and (b) pre-supplemental motor area (pre-SMA). The two regions are superimposed onto a sagittal section (X = 0 mm) of an SPM standard brain. (B) Brain regions that showed greater activity during local hot stimulation under the whole-body cold than whole-body hot conditions (i.e.,  $C_{body}H_{local} > H_{body}H_{local}$ ). Significantly greater activation was observed in (c) the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), (d) pre-SMA, (e) the bilateral caudate nucleus, and (f) the right middle frontal gyrus. The brain regions are superimposed onto sagittal (X = 12 mm) and axial (Z = 4 mm) sections of an SPM standard brain. The statistical threshold was set to uncorrected p < 0.001 at the voxel level and p < 0.05 FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level. Lt indicates the left side of brain.

hedonic component) changes according to core temperature or environmental condition. In the present study, we could reproduce the experimental condition by using Peltier device for the local stimulus IBRO Reports 6 (2019) 54-63

and a water-perfusion suit for hot or cold environment. Moreover, the hedonic components could be separated from the discriminative component (Figs. 2-IA-D). The skin surface temperature of the whole body changed with the water temperature; however, ear temperature remained unchanged. Thus, regional difference in skin temperature (i.e. skin temperature between the stimulation area and whole body) may be a factor determining thermal feeling.

We used VAS scores to evaluate the rating of the intensity of thermal stimuli and thermal feeling. The results clearly demonstrated that thermal stimuli applied to the forearm induced different thermal feeling depending on whole-body thermal condition: the score was lower in the  $C_{body}C_{local}$  and  $N_{body}C_{local}$  conditions than in the  $H_{body}C_{local}$  condition (Fig. 2-IB), and higher in the CbodyHlocal and NbodyHlocal conditions than in the H<sub>body</sub>H<sub>local</sub> condition (Fig. 2-ID). However, the score for thermal sensation was not influenced by whole-body thermal condition (Fig. 2-IA and -IC). Previous brain-mapping studies of thermal perception using fMRI and PET also used the rating values with digital (Kanosue et al., 2002; Farrell et al., 2011) or analogue scales (Craig et al., 2000; Olausson et al., 2005; Rolls et al., 2008; Grabenhorst et al., 2008, 2010) in the analysis. However, these studies analyzed the data separately, focusing on either perceptual component without considering the influence of the other. In the present study, during whole-body cooling, thermal unpleasantness increased as the thermal sensation of cold at the forearm did ( $C_{\rm body}C_{\rm local}$  and  $C_{\rm body}H_{\rm local}$  in Fig. 2-II, respectively). The result may indicate that, in some thermal condition of the whole body, ratings of the two components change in a similar manner. Therefore, it is unclear if the brain mapping in previous studies, based on rating of either component of interest, precisely reflects the component.

#### Brain areas activated by thermal stimulation

Based on the psychological assessments of thermal perception, the brain areas responsible for the hedonic component could be evaluated based on the rating of the intensity of thermal sensation. For example, the thermal sensation in the  $N_{body}H_{local}$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  conditions induced the same intensity of heat sensation. However, pleasant feelings were observed in the  $N_{body}H_{local}$  condition but not the  $H_{body}H_{local}$ 

Table 3

A	conjunction	analysis	for the	perception	of	thermal	stimulations.
---	-------------	----------	---------	------------	----	---------	---------------

Region name (BA)	Hem.	Voxels	Z value	p value	x, y, z (mm)
Commonly activated regions among the two	ratings on the local therm	al stimulations			
(Thermal sensation rating & pleasantne	ess rating)				
Fusiform gyrus (37)	Rt	10921	7.10	< 0.001	54, -52, -18
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Lt	*	7.01	< 0.001	-12, -92, -10
Cerebellum	Lt	*	6.99	< 0.001	-34, -70, -28
Cerebellum	Rt	*	6.32	< 0.001	34, -74, -26
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Rt	*	6.30	< 0.001	14, -90, -10
Fusiform gyrus (37)	Lt	*	5.82	< 0.001	-44, -56, -18
Insula (anterior)	Rt	7254	6.99	< 0.001	44, 16, -4
Inferior frontal gyrus (44)	Rt	*	6.32	< 0.001	48, 18, 24
Anterior cingulate gyrus (32)	Rt	*	6.25	< 0.001	6, 34, 28
Superior frontal gyrus (6)	Rt	*	5.78	< 0.001	32, 0, 64
Middle frontal gyrus (46)	Rt	*	5.78	< 0.001	40, 38, 20
Thalamus	Rt	*	5.44	< 0.001	16, -4, 0
Medial frontal gyrus (6/8)	Rt	*	4.89	< 0.001	4, 20, 56
Lentiform nucleus	Rt	*	3.75	< 0.001	18, 4, 0
Superior parietal lobe (7)	Rt	5090	7.20	< 0.001	52, -46, 54
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Rt	*	7.08	< 0.001	52, -38, 46
Precuneus (7)	Rt	*	6.16	< 0.001	16, -64, 40
Superior parietal lobe (7)	Lt	2384	6.61	< 0.001	-24, -68, 60
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Lt	*	6.17	< 0.001	- 38, -44, 34
Insula (anterior)	Lt	1049	5.23	< 0.001	-34, 22, 6
Thalamus	Lt	220	4.31	< 0.001	-22, -8, 2
Lentiform nucleus	Lt	*	3.74	< 0.001	-18, 10, -2
Middle frontal gyrus (46)	Lt	204	4.47	< 0.001	-50, 34, 26

BA, Brodmann area; Hem., hemisphere; Rt., right; Lt., left. \*a peak is included in a large cluster. Coordinates (x, y, z) are of the voxel of local maximal significance in each brain region according to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template.



**Fig. 5.** Brain regions commonly activated among the thermal sensation and pleasantness ratings were rendered on the medial and lateral surfaces of a brain (A) and superimposed onto axial sections (Z = 4 and 36 mm) of an SPM standard brain (B). The statistical threshold was set to uncorrected p < 0.001 at the voxel level and p < 0.05 FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level. Lt indicates the left side of brain.

#### Table 4

Dissociated	brain	regions	involved	in	the tw	vo subjective	ratings.	

Region name (BA)	Hem.	Voxels	Z value	p value	x, y, z (mm)			
Thermal sensation rating $>$ Pleasantness rating								
Middle frontal gyrus (6/8)	Lt	13505	6.82	< 0.001	-4, 2, 56			
Precentral gyrus (6)	Lt	*	6.26	< 0.001	-40, -16, 64			
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Lt	*	6.00	< 0.001	-44, -34, 42			
Anterior cingulate gyrus	Lt	*	5.93	< 0.001	-4, 10, 40			
(32)								
Postcentral gyrus (43)	Lt	*	5.49	< 0.001	-58, -20, 20			
Postcentral gyrus (1/2/3)	Lt	*	5.41	< 0.001	- 38, -28, 52			
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Lt	*	3.45	< 0.001	-26, -90, 4			
Cerebellum	Lt	*	3.13	< 0.001	-30, -72,			
					-20			
Fusiform gyrus (37)	Lt	*	3.12	< 0.001	-42, -56,			
					-18			
Thalamus	Rt	8484	5.56	< 0.001	12, -12, 2			
Insula (anterior)	Lt	*	5.53	< 0.001	-32, 22, 6			
Inferior frontal gyrus (44)	Rt	*	5.23	< 0.001	60, 10, 16			
Thalamus	Lt	*	5.18	< 0.001	-10, -14, 0			
Insula (anterior)	Rt	*	4.76	< 0.001	34, 18, 6			
Hippocampus	Rt	*	4.74	< 0.001	20, -26, -4			
Lentiform nucleus	Lt	*	4.73	< 0.001	-18, 8, -4			
Hippocampus	Lt	*	4.57	< 0.001	-22, -30, -2			
Lentiform nucleus	Rt	*	4.40	< 0.001	18, 10, 0			
Pons	Rt	*	3.34	< 0.001	6, -18, -14			
Inferior frontal gyrus (44)	Lt	*	2.95	< 0.001	-58, 8, 18			
Cerebellum	Rt	2981	5.24	< 0.001	22, -48, -24			
Fusiform gyrus (37)	Rt	*	4.77	< 0.001	36, -58, -16			
Inferior occipital gyrus (18)	Rt	*	4.37	< 0.001	32, -84, 0			
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Rt	841	4.52	< 0.001	68, -40, 22			
Postcentral gyrus (1/2/3)	Rt	*	4.19	< 0.001	50, -28, 46			
Middle temporal gyrus (39)	Rt	647	4.23	< 0.001	32, -80, 26			
Superior parietal lobe (7)	Rt	*	3.70	< 0.001	26, -58, 52			
Pleasantness rating > There	mal sens	ation rat	ing					
Superior frontal gyrus (8)	Rt	5635	5.67	< 0.001	40, 18, 52			
Medial frontal gyrus (10)	Lt	*	5.11	< 0.001	-6, 52, 14			
Medial frontal gyrus (10)	Rt	*	5.09	< 0.001	2, 58, 22			
Anterior cingulate gyrus	Lt	*	4.91	< 0.001	-12, 48, 12			
(32)								
Superior frontal gyrus (8)	Lt	*	4.68	< 0.001	-30, 22, 52			
Cuneus (18)	Rt	1827	4.80	< 0.001	8, -92, 22			
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Rt	1584	5.49	< 0.001	48, -58, 38			
Inferior parietal lobe (40)	Lt	1255	5.61	< 0.001	-46, -58, 34			
Middle frontal gyrus (11)	Lt	1021	5.81	< 0.001	-42, 26, -18			
Cerebellum	Rt	760	5.20	< 0.001	36, -74, -42			
Middle frontal gyrus (11)	Rt	420	3.95	< 0.001	42, 38, -14			
Middle temporal gyrus (21)	Rt	301	4.62	< 0.001	62, -32, -18			



condition (Figs. 2-IC and –ID). Therefore, the contrast of the statistical parametric mapping [SPM] between the two conditions may reflect the brain areas involved in the pleasant feeling. However, across six thermal conditions in the present study, many brain regions were activated, including the medial prefrontal cortex extending to anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral insula, right middle frontal cortex, and right inferior parietal lobe (Fig. 3 and Table 1). In addition, neither the



**Fig. 6.** Brain regions that showed greater activity during thermal sensation rating as compared with the pleasantness/unpleasantness rating (A) and during pleasantness/unpleasantness rating as compared with the thermal sensation rating (B). In the upper figures (A), the activation patterns were rendered on the medial and lateral surfaces of a brain (a) and superimposed onto axial (z = -24, 0, and 48 mm) sections of an SPM standard brain (b). In the lower figures, the activation patterns were rendered on the medial and lateral surfaces of a brain (a) and superimposed onto axial (z = -24, 0, and 48 mm) sections of an SPM standard brain (b). In the lower figures, the activation patterns were rendered on the medial and lateral surfaces of a brain (a) and superimposed onto axial (z = -40, -16, and 24 mm) sections of an SPM standard brain (b). The statistical threshold was set to uncorrected p < 0.001 at the voxel level and p < 0.05 corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level. Lt indicates the left side of brain.

temperature of local stimulation nor that of whole-body stimulation was related to the main effect for the BOLD signals. Further analysis of the correlation between the BOLD signals with the rating values of the discriminative and hedonic components also indicated that there were no specific regions associated with the hot and cold sensations, or pleasant and unpleasant feelings. These results suggest that when local thermal stimuli are applied, specific brain regions are activated regardless of the differences in local or global skin surface temperature.

The activated brain regions that were identified in the present study mostly agreed with those in previous reports, including the insula, orbitofrontal cortex, prefrontal cortex, parietal lobe, thalamus, and anterior and posterior cingulate cortices (Casey et al., 1996; Becerra et al., 1999; Craig et al., 2000; Hua et al., 2005). Several studies have indicated that the temperature of thermal stimulation or the intensity of the discriminative component of thermal perception was correlated with the activation of certain brain regions. For example, Olausson et al. (2005) reported that the intensity of warmth following stimuli applied to the left leg was correlated with the BOLD signal in the anterior cingulate cortex. It has been reported that the intensity ratings of both cooling and warming are correlated with activation of the insula (Craig et al., 2000; Olausson et al., 2005). We also found activation in the anterior cingulate cortex and insula in the present study; however, we did not observe such correlations with the rating scores. One possible reason for the difference may be a lack of consideration of hedonic component. We showed that, in the N<sub>body</sub> trials, C<sub>local</sub> induced unpleasantness and H<sub>local</sub> pleasantness (Fig. 2-ID). Thus, the intensity of thermal sensation might be just reflected by the activation of brain areas involved in thermal feeling such as the anterior cingulate cortex, not those involved in the thermal sensation per se (Fig. 4).

#### Brain areas associated with thermal hedonic component

Greater activity was observed in the medial frontal cortex and presupplemental motor area during local cold stimulation under the whole-body cold than whole-body hot condition; i.e..  $C_{body}C_{local} > H_{body}C_{local}$  (Fig. 4A and Table 2). The data suggest that these brain regions may be associated with unpleasantness, because CbodyClocal induced greater unpleasant feelings with a similar cold sensation (Fig. 2). On the other hand, greater activity was observed in the anterior cingulate cortex, pre-supplemental motor area, bilateral caudate nucleus, and right middle frontal gyrus during local hot stimulation under the whole-body cold than wole-body hot condition; i.e.,  $C_{body}H_{local} > H_{body}H_{local}$  (Fig. 4B and Table 2). The data imply that these brain regions may be related to pleasantness, because CbodyHlocal induced greater pleasant feelings with a similar hot sensation (Fig. 2). Previous neuroimaging studies have shown that the hedonic component of thermal perception is associated with several brain regions such as the orbitofrontal, medial prefrontal, and cingulate cortices (Rolls et al., 2008; Grabenhorst et al., 2008, 2010; Farrell et al., 2011), which were partly consistent with our findings. Different from the previous studies, we clearly showed different activation of brain networks between feelings of pleasantness and unpleasantness elicited by local thermal stimulation. The possible reason might be that the influence of thermal sensation was not excluded in the previous studies.

There might be another possibility for the variability in the neural activation observed in the present study. We found some brain regions were associated with pleasantness or unpleasantness (Fig. 4) only when comparing  $C_{body}H_{local}$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  or  $C_{body}C_{local}$  and  $H_{body}C_{local}$ . There were no such activation patterns observed in other comparisons, e.g.  $N_{body}H_{local}$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  which also showed difference in rating score of pleasantness, but smaller that between  $C_{body}H_{local}$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  and  $H_{body}H_{local}$  (Fig. 2-I). In addition, no direct evidence for correlation between brain activities and the rating of pleasantness/unpleasantness was observed. The results may show that the brain activities do not linearly reflect the rating of thermal pleasantness/unpleasantness, which is induced by the difference between the temperature of the local stimulation and that of the whole body.

#### Brain regions involved in evaluation processes of thermal stimuli

We also compared more activated regions between the rating processes of thermal sensation (discriminative) and pleasantness (hedonic) components (Fig. 6 and Table 4). The medial prefrontal cortex extending to anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral insula, bilateral middle frontal cortex, and bilateral parietal lobes were activated when the discriminative component was evaluated (Fig. 6A and Table 4). The medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and bilateral inferior parietal lobes were activated when the hedonic component was estimated (Fig. 6B and Table 4). The former regions are similar to those activated when visual attention is directed; i.e., "dorsal attention network" (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002). The latter regions are included in those activated during resting periods, also known as the "default mode network" (Buckner et al., 2008). This network is related to self-generated thought (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014) and becomes less activated

when attention is directed to external stimulation (Gusnard and Raichle, 2001). These studies suggest different roles for these two brain networks in the process of thermal perception. Chikazoe et al. (2014) investigated the involvement of these networks in the processes of visual perception or taste. They reported that the posterior part of these regions, including the insula, is unique to the sensory modality of origin. Conversely, the anterior part, including the orbitofrontal cortex, affords translation across distinct stimuli and modalities. Skerry and Saxe (2014) suggested that the medial frontal cortex is involved in transforming stimulus-bound inputs into abstract representation of emotion. Pain is also divided into two categories: sensory discrimination and evaluation (Melzack and Casey, 1968). Sensory discrimination of pain is processed in the somatosensory cortices and parietal lobe. whereas pain evaluation is mediated by the cingulate (Vogt, 2005) and orbitofrontal cortices (Kulkarni et al., 2005). In this regard, the discriminative and hedonic components of thermal perception may be processed in the insula and medial prefrontal cortex, respectively.

It has been reported that recalling previous stimuli can evoke activation in the brain regions involved in the processing of each modality such as olfaction (Gottfried et al., 2004), vision (Wheeler et al., 2000), audition (Stark et al., 2010), and pain (Fairhurst et al., 2012). Johnson and Rugg (2007) also showed that several brain regions involved in encoding and recollecting (memory retrieval) overlap with the occipital cortex, anterior fusiform gyrus, and ventromedial frontal cortex in sentence tasks. In the present study, the insula may be the key region for encoding and recollecting thermal information.

#### Conclusion

The present study clarified that local thermal stimulation activates specific brain regions such as the anterior cingulate cortex, insula, and inferior parietal lobe; irrespective of the temperature of local or wholebody stimuli. Brain regions associated with pleasantness and unpleasantness elicited by specific combination of local and whole-body thermal stimulation included different brain networks. In addition, the evaluation of the discriminative component involved activation of the medial prefrontal cortex extending to anterior cingulate cortex, insula, middle frontal cortex, and parietal lobes; while that of the hedonic component involved activation of the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and inferior parietal lobes. These results demonstrate that different neural substrates are implicated in the discriminative and hedonic components of thermal sensation, as well as the evaluation of these components in response to thermal stimulation.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None

#### Author contributions

S.N. and K.N. supervised the entire project. A.Y., T.H., H.N., and K.N. designed the study. A.Y., T.H., H.N., M.T., and K.N. performed the experiments. A.Y., T.H., and K.N. analyzed the data. A.Y., T.H., H.N., and K.N. wrote the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Cooperative Study Program of National Institute for Physiological Sciences, and by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research B-25280101 (to K. Nagashima).

#### References

Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Smallwood, J., Spreng, R.N., 2014. The default network and selfgenerated thought: component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance.

Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1316, 29–52. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12360.
Attia, M., 1984. Thermal pleasantness and temperature regulation in man. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 8, 335–342.

Attia, M., Engel, P., 1981. Thermal alliesthesial response in man is independent of skin location stimulated. Physiol. Behav. 27, 439–444.

Becerra, L.R., Breiter, H.C., Stojanovic, M., Fishman, S., Edwards, A., Comite, A.R., Gonzalez, R.G., Borsook, D., 1999. Human brain activation under controlled thermal stimulation and habituation to noxious heat: an fMRI study. Magn. Reson. Med. 41, 1044–1057. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1522-2594(199905)41:5%3C1044::AID-MRM25%3E2.0.CO;2-M.

Becerra, L., Breiter, H.C., Wise, R., Gonzalez, R.G., Borsook, D., 2001. Reward circuitry activation by noxious thermal stimuli. Neuron 32, 927–946. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0896-6273(01)00533-5.

- Buckner, R.L., Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Schacter, D.L., 2008. The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1124, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.011.
- Cabanac, M., 1971. Physiological role of pleasure. Science 173, 1103–1107. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.173.4002.1103.

Cabanac, M., 1979. Sensory pleasure. Q. Rev. Biol. 54, 1-29.

- Casey, K.L., Minoshima, S., Morrow, T.J., Koeppe, R.A., 1996. Comparison of human cerebral activation pattern during cutaneous warmth, heat pain, and deep cold pain. J. Neurophysiol. 76, 571–581. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.76.1.571.
- Chatonnet, J., Cabanac, M., 1965. The perception of thermal comfort. Int. J. Biometeorol. 9, 183–193.

Chikazoe, J., Lee, D.H., Kriegeskorte, N., Anderson, A.K., 2014. Population coding of affect across stimuli, modalities and individuals. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 1114–1122. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3749.

- Corbetta, M., Shulman, G.L., 2002. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3, 201–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn755.
- Craig, A.D., Chen, K., Bandy, D., Reiman, E.M., 2000. Thermosensory activation of insular cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 3, 184–190. https://doi.org/10.1038/72131.

Davis, K.D., Kwan, C.L., Crawley, A.P., Mikulis, D.J., 1998. Functional MRI study of thalamic and cortical activations evoked by cutaneous heat, cold, and tactile stimuli. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 1533–1546. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.3.1533.

- Egan, G.F., Johnson, J., Farrell, M., McAllen, R., Zamarripa, F., McKinley, M.J., Lancaster, J., Denton, D., Fox, P.T., 2005. Cortical, thalamic, and hypothalamic responses to cooling and warming the skin in awake humans: a positron-emission tomography study. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 5262–5267. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0409753102.
- Fairhurst, M., Fairhurst, K., Berna, C., Tracey, I., 2012. An fMRI study exploring the overlap and differences between neural representations of physical and recalled pain. PLoS One 7, e48711. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048711.
- Farrell, M.J., Johnson, J., McAllen, R., Zamarripa, F., Denton, D.A., Fox, P.T., Egan, G.F., 2011. Brain activation associated with ratings of the hedonic component of thermal sensation during whole-body warming and cooling. J. Therm. Biol. 36, 57–63.
- Friston, K.J., Holmes, A.P., Worsley, K.J., Poline, J.-P., Frith, C.D., Frackowiak, R.S.J., 1995. Spatial parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. Hum. Brain Mapp. 2, 189–210.
- Gottfried, J.A., Smith, A.P.R., Rugg, M.D., Dolan, R.J., 2004. Remembrance of odors past: human olfactory cortex in cross-modal recognition memory. Neuron 42, 687–695. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(04)00270-3.
- Grabenhorst, F., Rolls, E.T., Parris, B.A., 2008. From affective value to decision-making in the prefrontal cortex. Eur. J. Neurosci. 28, 1930–1939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2010.03.043.
- Grabenhorst, F., D'Souza, A.A., Parris, B.A., Rolls, E.T., Passingham, R.E., 2010. A common neural scale for the subjective pleasantness of different primary rewards. Neuroimage 51, 1265–1274.
- Gusnard, D.A., Raichle, M.E., 2001. Searching for a baseline: functional imaging and the resting human brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2, 685–694. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 35094500.
- Hensel, H., 1981. Thermoreception and temperature regulation. Monogr. Physiol. Soc. 38, 1–321.
- Hua, L.H., Strigo, I.A., Baxter, L.C., Johnson, S.C., Craig, A.D.B., 2005. Anteroposterior somatotopy of innocuous cooling activation focus in human dorsal posterior insular cortex. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 289, R319–R325. https://doi. org/10.1152/ajpregu.00123.2005.
- Johnson, J.D., Rugg, M.D., 2007. Recollection and the reinstatement of encoding-related cortical activity. Cereb. Cortex 17, 2507–2515. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/ bhl156.
- Kanosue, K., Sadato, N., Okada, T., Yoda, T., Nakai, S., Yoshida, K., Hosono, T., Nagashima, K., Yagishita, T., Inoue, O., Kobayashi, K., Yonekura, Y., 2002. Brain

activation during whole body cooling in humans studied with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Neurosci. Lett. 329, 157–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(02)00621-3.

- Kingma, B., Frijns, A., van Marken Lichtenbelt, W., 2012. The thermoneutral zone: implications for metabolic studies. Front. Biosci. Elite Ed. (Elite Ed) 4, 1975–1985.
- Kulkarni, B., Bentley, D.E., Elliott, R., Youell, P., Watson, A., Derbyshire, S.W., Frackowiak, R.S., Friston, K.J., Jones, A.K., 2005. Attention to pain localization and unpleasantness discriminates the functions of the medial and lateral pain systems. Eur. J. Neurosci. 21, 3133–3142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005. 04098.x.
- Kuno, S., 1987. A two-dimensional model expressing thermal sensation in transitional conditions. ASHRAE Trans. 93 (2), 396–406.

Melzack, R., Casey, K.L., 1968. In: Kenshalo, D.R.J. (Ed.), Sensory, Motivational, and Central Control Determinants of Pain: a New Conceptual Model in Pain, pp. 423–439.

Moeller, S., Yacoub, E., Olman, C.A., Auerbach, E., Strupp, J., Harel, N., Uğurbil, K., 2010. Multiband multislice GE-EPI at 7 tesla, with 16-fold acceleration using partial parallel imaging with application to high spatial and temporal whole-brain fMRI. Magn. Reson. Med. 63, 1144–1153. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22361.

Mower, G.D., 1976. Perceived intensity of peripheral thermal stimuli is independent of internal body temperature. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 90, 1152–1155.

- Nakamura, K., Morrison, S.F., 2008. A thermosensory pathway that controls body temperature. Nat. Neurosci. 11, 62–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2027.
- Nakamura, M., Yoda, T., Crawshaw, L.I., Yasuhara, S., Saito, Y., Kasuga, M., Nagashima, K., Kanosue, K., 2008. Regional differences in temperature sensation and thermal comfort in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 105, 1897–1906. https://doi.org/10.1152/ japplphysiol.90466.2008.
- Nakamura, M., Yoda, T., Crawshaw, L.I., Kasuga, M., Uchida, Y., Tokizawa, K., Nagashima, K., Kanosue, K., 2013. Relative importance of different surface regions for thermal comfort in humans. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 113, 63–76. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00421-012-2406-9.
- Olausson, H., Charron, J., Marchand, S., Villemure, C., Strigo, I.A., Bushnell, M.C., 2005. Feelings of warmth correlate with neural activity in right anterior insular cortex. Neurosci. Lett. 389, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2005.06.065.
- Peltz, E., Seifert, F., DeCol, R., Dörfler, A., Schwab, S., Maihöfner, C., 2011. Functional connectivity of the human insular cortex during noxious and innocuous thermal stimulation. Neuroimage 54, 1324–1335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2010.09.012.

Roberts, M.F., Wenger, C.B., Stolwijk, J.A., Nadel, E.R., 1977. Skin blood flow and sweating changes following exercise training and heat acclimation. J. Appl. Physiol. 43, 133–137. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1977.43.1.133.

Rolls, E.T., Grabenhorst, F., Parris, B.A., 2008. Warm pleasant feelings in the brain. Neuroimage 41, 1504–1513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.03.005.

Skerry, A.E., Saxe, R., 2014. A common neural code for perceived and inferred emotion. J. Neurosci. 34, 15997–16008. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1676-14.2014.

- Slotnick, S.D., 2017. Cluster success: fMRI inferences for spatial extent have acceptable false-positive rates. Cogn. Neurosci. 8, 150–155. https://doi.org/10.1080/17588928. 2017.1319350.
- Stark, C.E.L., Okado, Y., Loftus, E.F., 2010. Imaging the reconstruction of true and false memories using sensory reactivation and the misinformation paradigms. Learn. Mem. 17, 485–488. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.1845710.

Talairach, J., Tournoux, P., 1988. Co-Planar Stereotaxic Atlas of the Human Brain. Thieme, New York.

- Tan, C.L., Knight, Z.A., 2018. Regulation of body temperature by the nervous system. Neuron. 98 (April (1)), 31–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.02.022.
- Tan, C.L., Cooke, E.K., Leib, D.E., Lin, Y.C., Daly, G.E., Zimmerman, C.A., Knight, Z.A., 2016. Warm-sensitive neurons that control body temperature. Cell. 167 (September (1)), 47–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.028. e15.
- Tracey, I., Becerra, L., Chang, I., Breiter, H., Jenkins, L., Borsook, D., González, R.G., 2000. Noxious hot and cold stimulation produce common patterns of brain activation in humans: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Neurosci. Lett. 288, 159–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(00)01224-6.
- Tseng, M.-T., Tseng, W.-Y.I., Chao, C.-C., Lin, H.-E., Hsieh, S.-T., 2010. Distinct and shared cerebral activations in processing innocuous versus noxious contact heat revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. Hum. Brain Mapp. 31, 743–757. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20902.
- Vogt, B.A., 2005. Pain and emotion interactions in subregions of the cingulate gyrus. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 533–544. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1704.
- Wheeler, M.E., Petersen, S.E., Buckner, R.L., 2000. Memory's echo: vivid remembering reactivates sensory-specific cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97, 11125–11129. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.20.11125.